

VERNON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTES

February 9, 2010

Chairman Tom Spenner called the February 9, 2010 meeting of the Vernon County Board of Supervisors to order at 9:30 A.M. in the County Boardroom of the Courthouse Annex. There was no Invocation since Pastor Ethan Larson was unavailable. Board members and others present gave the Pledge of Allegiance. Chairman Spenner affirmed there had been proper public notice of the meeting. Motion by Overbo, second by Cox to excuse Kevin Gobel from today's meeting. Motion carried. County Clerk, Ron Hoff called roll with twenty-eight Supervisors present.

Motion by Brose, second by Banner to dispense with reading of the December 1, 2009 meeting minutes and approve the proceedings with the following correction: Dahl requested a correction in the minutes page five, 1st paragraph correcting per quo to quid pro quo and change except to accept. Motion carried.

Under announcements Spenner reminded the Board of Supervisors that they will not be paid per diem for today's meeting since the committee decided to donate one meeting in 2010. Hoff stated Wisconsin Counties Association has a seminar entitled Economic Development Resources for Counties on February 22, 2010 in Stevens Point, Wisconsin. Registration deadline for this seminar is February 12, 2010. Servais stated there is going to be an Energy Conference in Wisconsin Dells on March 30, 2010 and feels some County Board members should attend.

Under Special Order of business was recognition of service. Chairman Spenner stated he would like to acknowledge that this will be the last County Board meeting for David Banner, Chet Lee and Jack Robinson. Chairman Spenner stated he would like to thank them very much for their service. Pamela Eitland, Human Services Director, stated March 4, 2010 the Wisconsin Counties Association will host the first ever Human Services Lobby Day in Madison at the Capital. Eitland stated this will give her an opportunity to talk to legislators about Human Services. Let Eitland know if anyone is interested in attending this.

Chairman Spenner presented Jack Robinson, County Board Supervisor District 24, a recognition certificate for all his years of service serving on the County Board. Robinson began his service on the County Board April 20, 1976. Spenner thanked Robinson's family for coming to today's meeting.

Next under Special Order of Business was appointment of Board Supervisor to serve a six year term, ending July 15, 2015 as Commissioner to the Mississippi River Regional Planning Commission, currently held by Jo Ann Nickelatti. Nickelatti sent a letter stating she would like to continue serving on that committee to Chairman Spenner. Motion by Cade, second by McCoy to appoint Jo Ann Nickelatti to serve as Commissioner to the Mississippi River Regional Planning Commission until July 15, 2015. Motion carried on a voice vote.

Next under Special Order of Business was AECOM presentation on Jersey Valley Repair. (AECOM engineers) Chairman Spenner stated the speaker has been cancelled. Beitlich explained at the last Land Conservation committee meeting the committee tabled the change orders for the time being and are looking at second options. Chairman Spenner stated Resolutions 5 and 6 are also not being acted on at this meeting.

Chairman Spenner moved to the next item under Special Order of Business, explanations on Comprehensive Plan, Mike Blaska, Wisconsin Counties Association and Bill Elmhurst of Clark County. Supervisor Cox introduced today's speakers on the Comprehensive Plan. Blaska stated he is the Director of Programs and Services for the Wisconsin Counties Association. Blaska stated he grew up on a dairy farm and farmed in Sun Prairie for many years. Blaska explained some of the benefits WCA has to offer employees such as AAA and Life Insurance. Blaska explained the beginning stages of the comprehensive planning process. It was not a mandate by the State, it wasn't the Governor creating an executive order, it wasn't some legislature introducing a bill but it was realtors that got together with an environmental group since they wanted more certainty in the law. Blaska stated the realtors wanted to know where they can develop and build without the hassles of going in front of the boards and councils. The environmental group wanted to protect the environment and have more control on where development is done. These two groups met with other organizations that had an interest in this project to come up with a resolution. Blaska stated they met for several months before coming up with a consensus. They then went to the Governor where the language was put into legislation and inserted into the budget. Blaska stated there has been controversy about the law. One is that this is an unfunded mandate, but they did come up with some money. Blaska stated it is very clear that communities are required to come up with a plan. Initially the law stated that every community that made land use decisions had to do a Comprehensive Plan but the law did get revised to those communities that do zoning, communities that have shore land and subdivision

ordinances. Blaska stated no one knows for sure what would happen if they didn't have a plan. Blaska stated they might get by for awhile but will need a plan in the future. Blaska stated not having a plan could overturn a court case on ordinance enforcement since there is nothing in place to base their decision on. Blaska stated our plan can be flexible and can be amended if needed. Richardson asked if we are liable either way, if we do have a plan or if we do not have a plan and was told it could be possible but the law states if we make land use decisions we have to be consistent with the plan. Hynek asked why the plan is written as an ordinance and was told the statute states it must be an ordinance. Spenner asked if we need State approval of the plan and was told no, but the State wants a copy of the plan on file. Banta asked if an advisory plan is okay, using recommendations and no enforcement and was told there are no standards set by the State but they have the nine elements to the plan and with each element there is certain information that needs to be provided. Banner asked if having a plan protects the County from law suits and was told having a plan would be very helpful in a law suit. Servais stated citizens worry that the plan would be like having a zoning ordinance and was told the plan is supposed to guide us and can always be amended to make it more helpful. Cade stated this is an ordinance and is actually zoning. It is law but they are trying to hide behind it with zoning, and wonders how we can keep changing things if it is a law. Blaska stated it is not zoning, since zoning is different from planning, and we look to the plan for guidance which can be amended just like a zoning ordinance. Banta asked if we can adopt a plan just to use as advisory and still meet the standards of the State. Blaska stated the plan needs to be used for guidance since we can't write everything in the plan. Servais stated a plan is needed for our future. Brault stated we can do an advisory plan and send it to the State and if they reject it we know why. It is really up to us what we want to do. Hynek stated once this ordinance is passed it is law and every law we pass has a penalty. Hynek would like to know what the consequences of this ordinance will be on our County and all our residents. Blaska stated the State has no interest whether we follow the plan or not. Hooker asked if we change the specifics of the project which is different from the plan what could happen and was told if everyone was happy probably nothing, but it could be overturned if some were unhappy. Yttri stated we could amend the plan before allowing the change. Next to speak on the Comprehensive Plan was Bill Elmhorst of Clark County who was on the Clark County Board of Supervisors. Elmhorst stated only twenty-eight counties have met the January 1, 2010 deadline for plans. Elmhorst stated Clark County received \$300,000 in grant funding for preparing their Comprehensive Plan. Elmhorst stated Clark County came up with a plan after spending about a million dollars but the County Board never adopted it because the people stated they were not ready for this. Clark County did a County referendum which was voted down 71% to 29%. Elmhorst feels this plan has no penalties so law suits will arise which would be very expensive. Elmhorst stated our plan is a very basic plan. Elmhorst feels Supervisors must make their own decision on the facts and feels the Comprehensive Plan can affect our rights or freedoms. Elmhorst stated Farmland Preservation was introduced in Wisconsin in the 1970's and he was an outspoken opponent of this since he felt it was unconstitutional. McClelland asked where Clark County is with their plan and was told it is still being discussed. Yttri asked if any Towns in Clark County have adopted a plan and was told around five Towns have. Elmhorst feels an advisory plan would protect the County from law suits. Chairman Spenner called for a ten minute recess at 10:40 a.m. Chairman Spenner reconvened the meeting at 10:52.

Chairman Spenner moved to Regular Order of Business.

Chairman Spenner asked for any petitions and there were none.

Motion by Brault second by Servais to have a special County Board meeting in March on the Comprehensive Plan so the current County Board can either amend it, change it and vote it up or down. Hynek feels this would cost the County more money since due to the budget the County Board members donated their per diem for this meeting. Cox feels we should send the plan back to the Comprehensive Planning Commission. Servais feels Cox is right and it should be sent back to the Commission and feels the Supervisors that find fault in the plan should be part of the Commission. Hooker would like Chairman Spenner to appoint to the Commission anyone who would like to make changes to the plan, so all voices are heard. Cox stated the Commission was put in place to put the product together and they had done that. Now we have concerns with the plan and we need to go back and look at where the concerns are, readjust them and bring it back in front of the County Board. Banta stated we need to get the mandates out of the Comprehensive Plan. Motion for a special County Board meeting failed on a voice vote.

Motion by Brault, second by Cox to move to ordinances on the agenda. Motion carried on a voice vote. Chairman Spenner moved to the ordinance on the agenda, To Adopt the Comprehensive Plan of the County of Vernon, Wisconsin. Motion by Cox, second by Beitlich to send the Comprehensive Plan back to the Commission for rewrite and if any County Board member has concerns with the plan they should make

contact with the Commission and voice their concerns. Beitlich feels this should not be a rush job. Banta asked Corporation Counsel Lunde if we would need another public hearing if we change the plan and was told most likely. Banner suggested putting "this plan is advisory and should be used for guidance only" on every page of the plan. Hynek asked if this plan can be changed to be adopted as a resolution instead of an ordinance and Lunde stated the Commission could look at it. Motion to send the Comprehensive Plan back to the Commission for rewrite passed on a voice vote.

Chairman Spenner continued under the regular order of business by viewing the following resolutions.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 1

TITLE: Resolution to Authorize Sale of Property to WIS DOT

WHEREAS, Wisconsin Department of Transportation offers to purchase property for the US Highway 14/61 expansion project from Vernon County, Wisconsin for the sum of \$19,000.00.

WHEREAS, legal description of property is as follows: Parcel 7 of Transportation Project Plats, Page 26, as Document 448093, recorded in Vernon County, Wisconsin. Also, Parcel 7 of Transportation Project Plat 1646-07-24-4.02, recorded in Cabinet 1 of Transportation Project Plats, Page 28, and Document 452495, recorded in Vernon County, Wisconsin. Also, any interests or rights not listed above for said parcels but shown as required on said Transportation Project Plats are hereby incorporated herein by reference. Copy of Warranty Deed attached.

WHEREAS, payment for purchase of property will be released to Vernon County after all paperwork is finalized, and

WHEREAS, Vernon County can take down and re-use any of the existing fencing and posts located within the new right of way being purchased. All fencing and posts would need to be removed prior to the beginning of construction in the spring of 2011. Any fencing remaining once construction by DOT has started will be removed as part of the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Vernon County Board of Supervisors to authorize the sale of property for the US Highway 14/61 expansion project and further authorize the County Board Chair and Clerk to execute all necessary documents to conclude the sale.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted January 7, 2010 by the **Buildings & Facilities Committee** /s/ James Servais, Vice-chair. Vote: 2 yes, 1 no and two absent

Motion by Cade, second by Verbsky to approve the resolution. County Clerk Hoff stated he has been working with the WIS DOT. Brault asked what would happen if we did not approve this today and was told they would start condemnation on the land and then the price offered would not be guaranteed. Cade explained the WIS DOT gave us the right to a second appraisal, which they paid for, so that is how we came up with a few more dollars. Hynek and Dahl feel the price is fair and the County should take the offer. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed on a roll call vote with twenty-five Supervisors voting yes and three supervisors voting no. Servais, Brault and Larson voting no.

RESOLUTION # 2010 - 2

TITLE: Contingency Fund Transfer

WHEREAS, at the time of preparing the 2009 and 2010 budgets, early retirement figures were unknown by department heads and were not included in the department's budgets, and

WHEREAS, the Vernon County Board of Supervisors authorized an early retirement incentive, and

WHEREAS, funds need to be allocated to the 2009 and 2010 budgets to cover pay-outs for employees who elected to participate in the early retirement incentive

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Vernon County Board of Supervisors hereby approves a fund transfer of \$123,382 from the Contingency fund to the appropriate department's 2009 and 2010 budgets, to cover the costs of the early retirement incentive payout.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted January 26, 2010 by the **Finance Committee**

/s/ Brian Richardson, Chair Vote: 5 yes, 0 no.

Motion by Banta, second by McCoy to approve the resolution. Banta stated the amount would probably be less than the \$123,382 since some departments have money left in their 2009 budget which will be transferred into the General Fund. Banta stated after this year, if we do not replace these employees, we should save approximately \$295,595 a year. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed on a roll call vote with all twenty-eight Supervisors voting yes. Brault thanked the Finance Committee for doing a great job.

RESOLUTION #2010 - 3

TITLE: 2009 CASH BALANCE

WHEREAS, Vernon County has experienced several years of extraordinary weather conditions, including floods and above normal snowfall; and

WHEREAS, these events have adversely affected highway infrastructure and operating expenses; and

WHEREAS, the department is falling behind on the annual number of miles that need to be constructed to stay on a 20 year rotation; and

WHEREAS, the department still has flood damage repairs to complete; and

WHEREAS, the Highway Department, being fiscally responsible, will have an approximate \$300,000 budgetary surplus at the end of 2009;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Highway Department be allowed to use that surplus to complete flood damage repair and for additional infrastructure construction.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted January 14, 2010 by Vernon County Highway Committee /s/ Jo Ann Nickelatti, Chair Vote: 5 yes, 0 no. Submitted January 21, 2010 by the Finance Committee /s/ Brian Richardson, Chair Vote: 5 yes, 0 no.

Motion by Cade, second by Verbsky to approve the resolution. Overbo stated if we do this for one department would other departments with balances get to keep theirs. Richardson stated it would have to be approved by the County Board. Richardson stated money from Highway Department was taken out of their reserve the last couple of years so we are just replacing it. Virgil Hanold, Highway Commissioner, explained the Highway Department received money back from FEMA so this is how the overage happened. Hanold stated the Highway Department would like this money back so it can be put back in their construction dollars. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed with twenty-six Supervisors voting yes and two Supervisors voting no. Brault and Overbo voted no.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 4

TITLE: ZONING AND SANITATION LEASE BUYOUT

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Sanitation Department has leased a 2007 Ford Ranger at a cost of \$22,800 and payments of \$318.96 per month, and

WHEREAS, the lease of the Ford Ranger expires March 2010, and

WHEREAS, the buyout price on the lease is \$12,656.40 plus license and transfer fees, and

WHEREAS, there is currently \$3,706.00 in the Zoning and Sanitation budget for the purchase of the vehicle, and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Sanitation Department has a 2001 Chevrolet Blazer which shall be sold on sealed bids and the proceeds shall be applied to the buyout, and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Sanitation Committee has determined that the money for the balance of the buyout should come from borrowing, and

WHEREAS, the county has established a line of credit

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Zoning and Sanitation Department is authorized to buyout the lease of the 2007 Ford Ranger at a Cost of \$12,656.40, and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED that the money for said purchase shall come from the line of credit arranged by the Finance Committee to cover the cost of the buyout, and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED that proceeds from the sale of the 2001 Chevrolet Blazer shall be applied to the line of credit loan, in addition to the \$3,706.00 in the 2010 budget, and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED that Zoning and Sanitation shall pay the balance of principal plus interest as part of its 2011 budget if funds are not available in the 2010 budget.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted by the Zoning and Sanitation Committee. /s/ Jerry Cade, Chair Vote: 5 yes, 0 no.

Motion by Cade, second by Erlandson to approve the resolution. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed on a roll call vote with all twenty-eight Supervisors voting yes.

Chairman Spenner stated Resolution 5 and Resolution 6 have been removed.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 7

TITLE: COMPUTER SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN POSITION

WHEREAS, Vernon County, along with other Wisconsin municipalities, are experiencing economic issues and probable funding cuts from the State level; and

WHEREAS, the department heads were required to come in at or under their 2009 budget for the 2010 budget tax levy; and

WHEREAS, the Computer Department has undergone organizational disruption and is currently falling behind on projects that could result in financial liability to the County if not corrected in a timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the attached list of tasks and projects indicates a need for additional staff;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Vernon County Board of Supervisors approves increasing the hours of the Computer Systems Technician position from part-time to full-time with a start date of February 9, 2010 and an end date of December 31, 2010. On January 1, 2011 the position will revert back to part-time status.

Fiscal Impact: Salary \$12,299.04, FICA \$940.88, WRS \$1,352.89

Health Insurance: Single \$6048.88 Family \$13,453.92

Total if family coverage: \$28,046.73 Total if single coverage: \$20,641.69

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted January 28, 2010 by the **Personnel Committee**

/s/ Chet Lee, Chair Vote: 3 yes, 0 no 2 absent.

Motion by Lee, second by Dahl to approve the resolution. Banta explained at first the Finance Committee was against this resolution. After talking with the IT person and members of her committee they were convinced of the need for a temporary project employee until the end of 2010. Beitlich stated the Computer Department is going to have to make a prioritized list of what needs to be done. Larson and Banner support this resolution. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed on a roll call vote with twenty-seven Supervisors voting yes and one Supervisor voting no. Overbo voted no.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 8

TITLE: VERNON COUNTY ELECTED OFFICIAL SALARIES SHERIFF, CLERK OF COURT AND CORONER

WHEREAS, Wisconsin Statute Sec.59.22 calls for the establishment of elected officials remuneration (wages and benefits) prior to June 1st of an election year. The fall 2010 elections are for Sheriff, Clerk of Court and Coroner for the time period 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

WHEREAS, Vernon County, along with other Wisconsin municipalities, are experiencing economic issues and probable funding cuts from the State level and have recommended no across-the-board increase for employees for 2010; and

WHEREAS, historically the positions of Sheriff, Clerk of Court and Coroner have received the same percent increases as Treasurer, Register of Deeds and County Clerk (each position will receive a 3% increase in 2011 and 2012); and

WHEREAS, it behooves the County to provide a fair and equitable wage for elected officials;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Vernon County Board of Supervisors approves the following salaries:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Sheriff	65,383	67,345	68,692	70,066
Clerk of Court	50,617	52,136	53,179	54,242
Coroner	18,290	18,838	19,215	19,599
Fiscal Impact:	3,911	4,029	2,766	2,822

Chief Deputy per resolution, salary is set at 90% of Sheriff annual salary.

Fiscal Impact	1,713	1,765	1,212	1,236
---------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	2011	2012	2013	2014
	0%	2%	2%	2%
<i>Sheriff</i>	<i>63,479</i>	<i>64,749</i>	<i>66,044</i>	<i>67,364</i>
<i>Coroner</i>	<i>17,757</i>	<i>18,122</i>	<i>18,474</i>	<i>18,844</i>
<i>Clerk Court</i>	<i>49,143</i>	<i>50,126</i>	<i>51,128</i>	<i>52,151</i>

Please note that the Chief Deputy salary will be 90% of the Sheriff salary.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted January 28, 2010 by the **Personnel Committee**

/s/ Chet Lee, Chair Vote: 3 yes, 0 no, 2 members absent

Motion by Lee, second by Banta to approve the resolution. Motion by Cade, second by Overbo to amend the resolution to 0% in 2011, 2% in 2012, 2% in 2013 and 2% in 2014. Erlandson supports this amendment. Banta feels the elected officials are very important and for six years (2000 – 2006) they only received a 1% increase each year. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote to amend the resolution. The motion to amend the resolution passed on a roll call vote with twenty-two Supervisors voting yes and

six Supervisors voting no. Hooker, Servais, McClelland Jr., Cornell, Erlandson, and Richardson voting no. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote to approve the resolution as amended. The resolution as amended passed on a roll call vote with twenty-two Supervisors voting yes and six Supervisors voting no. Hooker, Servais, McClelland Jr., Cornell, Larson, and Richardson voting no. Dahl thanked Chairman Spenner for donating 3% of his 2010 salary to the County.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 9

TITLE: AMENDMENT TO RULES OF THE BOARD – RULE 2

WHEREAS, the Vernon County board of Supervisors has adopted “Rules of the Board” for the purpose of conducting the business of Vernon County, and

WHEREAS, the “Rules of the Board” do not specifically address the issue of filling a vacancy on the Vernon County Board of Supervisors, and

WHEREAS, Wis. Stats. §59.10(3)(e) provides that vacancies on the board shall be filled by appointment by the board chairperson with approval of the board,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Rule 2 of the Vernon County Board of Supervisors “Rules of the Board” shall be amended to adopt the procedure found in Wis. Stats. §59.10(3)(e) to read as follows:

If a vacancy occurs on the board, the board chairperson, with the approval of the board, shall appoint a person who is a qualified elector and resident of the supervisory district to fill the vacancy.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted by the Legal Affairs Committee /s/ Kevin Gobel, Chair Vote: 5 yes, 0 no

Motion by Overbo, second by Richardson to approve the resolution. The resolution passed on a voice vote.

RESOLUTION # 2010 – 10

TITLE: PROPOSED CHANGE TO COUNTY ASSESSMENT

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue (DOR) proposes to change from municipal assessment to county assessment of real and personal property; and

WHEREAS, moving the assessment from the town, village, and city level to the county will take away local control and increase costs to the taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, DOR acknowledges the costs of a county assessment system will be on average \$25 per parcel statewide, which will be a significant increase in property taxes from the county level at a time when increased property taxes are already a burden; and

WHEREAS, property owners will lose the convenience of open book and board of review proceedings in their own municipality by having to travel to county sites for open book and board of review; and

WHEREAS, the DOR proposal will provide little oversight over county assessment practices and no appeal rights of individual towns, villages, and cities of their municipal full value as determined by the county assessment; and

WHEREAS, the DOR has expressed concern over some local assessment practices and other issues such as assessor certification and performance that can be addressed under the current municipal assessment system without mandating a county assessment system; and

WHEREAS, the DOR proposal for “single value” assessment may reduce state costs for state equalization between municipal assessing districts, however there is no showing that “single value” assessment is required in Wisconsin, nor that the change to county assessment without state equalization will in fact bring more uniformity and equity to assessment practices; and

WHEREAS, if valid concerns exist over current assessment practices of municipal assessment, these issues should be studied by the various interested stakeholders including local governments and assessors to determine if changes to municipal assessment practices could be implemented to improve the current system rather than blindly mandate county assessment;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Vernon County Board of Supervisors county does hereby oppose the implementation of county assessment in Wisconsin;

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, that the State of Wisconsin, local governments and other stakeholders should study what changes, if any are found necessary, can be implemented to improve municipal assessment practices without necessarily eliminating the current system; and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, that this resolution be forwarded to the DOR, to the members of the Senate and Assembly representing Vernon County and to the Governor.

Dated: February 9, 2010 Submitted by /s/ Tom Spenner, Chair /s/ Dennis Brault, District 13

Motion by Dahl, second by Banner to approve the resolution. Chairman Spenner stated the State has scratched this plan. Resolution passed on a voice vote.

RESOLUTION # 2010-11

TITLE: NOTICE OF DISALLOWANCE OF CLAIM

TO: Randy Schlacke, The Scottish Inn, 509 South Water Street, #110, Sparta, WI 54656

TO: Attorney Theodore Skemp, 207 Seventh Street South, La Crosse WI 54601

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: that the Board of Supervisors of Vernon County at its regular meeting on February 9, 2010 disallowed your claim for payment of damages dated February 3, 2010 relating to an alleged injury on February 5, 2007 at the Vernon County Jail in Vernon County, Wisconsin.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that no action may be brought against Vernon County, in regard to this claim, after six months from the date of service of this notice upon you, pursuant to Sec.893.80 of the Wis. Stats.

Dated: February 9, 2010 /s/ Thomas V. Spenner, County Board Chair, /s/ Ron Hoff, Vernon County Clerk Motion by Overbo, second by Brose to approve the resolution. Chairman Spenner called for a roll call vote. The resolution passed on a roll call vote with all twenty-eight Supervisors voting yes.

Chairman Spenner asked for any Memorials and there were none.

Chairman Spenner asked for any remonstrances and there were none. Chairman Spenner asked for reports of standing or special committees and there were none. Under unfinished business Lee stated the Personnel Committee will be meeting fifteen minutes after the County Board meeting.

The next County Board meeting is April 20, 2010 at 9:30 A.M. in the County Board Room at the Courthouse Annex. With the meeting concluded, a motion was made by Erlandson and second by Verbsky to adjourn. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 11:44 a.m.

CERTIFICATION

State of Wisconsin (

(

County of Vernon (

Office of the Vernon County Clerk

I, Ronald C. Hoff, County Clerk of Vernon County, Wisconsin DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the statements above are a correct report of all actions and decisions of the Vernon County Board of Supervisors at the meeting of February 9, 2010, as indicated in transcription taken personally by me.
(Seal)

/s/ **Ronald C. Hoff**

Vernon County Clerk